LIST OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS4	41
SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS4	
Chapter 1. Retention and Remediation	52
Table 1 Compared to all students your institution enrolls how much more (or less) likely	
are students with the following challenges to persist to the second year:	52
Table 1.1.1 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year	52
Table 1.1.2 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	y
Type of college5	
Table 1.1.3 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	y
Full Tuition Price5	
Table 1.1.4 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	-
Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution5	
Table 1.1.5 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	-
Carnegie Class5	
Table 1.1.6 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	
Student Acceptance Rate	
Table 1.1.7 Likelihood of Immigrant students to persist to the second year Broken out b	
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	54
Table 1.2.1 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year	54
Table 1.2.2 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken	- ,
out by Type of college)4
Table 1.2.3 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price	==
Table 1.2.4 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	S
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken	
out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	55
Table 1.2.5 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	JJ
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken	
out by Carnegie Class5	55
Table 1.2.6 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	,,
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken	
out by Student Acceptance Rate5	56
Table 1.2.7 Likelihood of Students testing into high-school level math (i.e. lower than	, ,
college algebra but higher than first-year algebra) to persist to the second year Broken	
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants5	56
Table 1.3.1 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school lev	
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year	
Table 1.3.2 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school lev	
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Type of	
college	56

Table 1.3.3 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school level
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition
Price57
Table 1.3.4 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school level
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution57
Table 1.3.5 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school level
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Carnegie
Class
Table 1.3.6 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school level
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate
Table 1.3.7 Likelihood of Students testing into a math course lower than high school level
(i.e. first-year algebra or lower) to persist to the second year Broken out by Percentage of
Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 1.4.1 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year
Table 1.4.2 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Type of college58
Table 1.4.3 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Full Tuition Price59
Table 1.4.4 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution59
Table 1.4.5 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Carnegie Class60
Table 1.4.6 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Student Acceptance Rate60
Table 1.4.7 Likelihood of Students not prepared for college-level writing (i.e. placement
tests suggest need for remedial writing instruction) to persist to the second year Broken
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants60
Table 1.5.1 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the
second year61
Table 1.5.2 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the
second year Broken out by Type of college61
Table 1.5.3 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the
second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 1.5.4 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the
second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the
Institution
Table 1.5.5 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the
second year Broken out by Carnegie Class62

Table 1.5.6 Likelihood of Students with limited financial resources to persist to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate		
Table 1.5.7 Likelihood of Students with İmited financial resources to persist to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		•
second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		
Table 1.6.1 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Type of college		-
Table 1.6.2 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Type of college		
Type of college		Table 1.6.1 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year63
Table 1.6.3 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price		Table 1.6.2 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by
Full Tuition Price		Type of college63
Table 1.6.4 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution		Table 1.6.3 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by
Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution		Full Tuition Price63
Table 1.6.5 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class		Table 1.6.4 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by
Table 1.6.5 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class		Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution64
Carnegie Class		
Table 1.6.6 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate		
Student Acceptance Rate		
Table 1.6.7 Likelihood of Veteran students to persist to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		•
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		•
Chapter 2. Retention Programs for Immigrant Students		
Table 2 How effective have the following practices been at retaining students who speak English as a second language to their second year?		
English as a second language to their second year?	Та	ble 2 How effective have the following practices been at retaining students who speak
Table 2.1.1 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year		
retaining ESL students to their second year		
Table 2.1.2 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college		·
Table 2.1.3 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price		
Table 2.1.4 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution		
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution		
Table 2.1.5 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class		
Table 2.1.6 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate67 Table 2.1.7 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate67 Table 2.1.7 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		
Table 2.1.7 Effectiveness of Tutoring specifically for students from other countries in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants		
Receive Pell Grants		
Table 2.2.1 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores in retaining ESL students to their second year		
in retaining ESL students to their second year		
Table 2.2.2 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college		
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college		Table 2.2.2 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores
Table 2.2.3 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price		
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price69 Table 2.2.4 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent		
Table 2.2.4 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent		
		Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution69

Table 2.2.5 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class70
Table 2.2.6 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate .70
Table 2.2.7 Effectiveness of Mandatory ESL instruction based on standardized test scores
in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who
Receive Pell Grants70
Table 2.3.1 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year71
Table 2.3.2 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Type of college
Table 2.3.3 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price71
Table 2.3.4 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution72
Table 2.3.5 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class72
Table 2.3.6 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate72
Table 2.3.7 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants73
Table 2.4.1 Effectiveness of Learning center/ success center for ESL students in retaining
ESL students to their second year73
Table 2.4.2 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Type of college73
Table 2.4.3 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price73
Table 2.4.4 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution74
Table 2.4.5 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class74
Table 2.4.6 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate74
Table 2.4.7 Effectiveness of Intensive advising for ESL students in retaining ESL students
to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants75
Table 2.5.1 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL
students to their second year
Table 2.5.2 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL
students to their second year Broken out by Type of college
Table 2.5.3 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL
students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 2.5.4 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL
students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
Programs within the Institution 76

Table 2.5.5 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL	
students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class76	6
Table 2.5.6 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL	
students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate76	6
Table 2.5.7 Effectiveness of Assistance with personal/life difficulties in retaining ESL	
students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell	
Grants	7
Table 2.6.1 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	
retaining ESL students to their second year77	7
Table 2.6.2 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college77	7
Table 2.6.3 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price	7
Table 2.6.4 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	•
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	R
Table 2.6.5 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	,
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class78	R
Table 2.6.6 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	,
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate78	Q
Table 2.6.7 Effectiveness of Scholarships for students from outside the United States in	J
retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who	
Receive Pell Grants79	a
Table 2.7.1 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	,
States in retaining ESL students to their second year79	a
Table 2.7.2 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	,
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college79	a
Table 2.7.3 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	•
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price79	a
Table 2.7.4 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	•
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution80	
Table 2.7.5 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	J
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Carnegie Class80	ስ
Table 2.7.6 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	J
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Student Acceptance	
Rate80	ሰ
Table 2.7.7 Effectiveness of Residential programs for students from outside the United	J
States in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants82	1
Table 2.8.1 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	T
	1
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year87	1
Table 2.8.2 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year Broken out by Type of college	1
DLOKED OUT DV TVDE OF COHEOE X	1

Table 2.8.3 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year	
Broken out by Full Tuition Price8	1
Table 2.8.4 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year	
Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution 8	2
Table 2.8.5 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year	
Broken out by Carnegie Class8	2
Table 2.8.6 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	_
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year	2
Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	_
Table 2.8.7 Effectiveness of Programs designed to foster social integration of foreign	
students into the campus community in retaining ESL students to their second year	_
Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants8	3
Table 3.1 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time?8	3
Table 3.2 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Type of college8	4
Table 3.3 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Full Tuition Price8	4
Table 3.4 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
Institution8	5
Table 3.5 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Carnegie Class8	5
Table 3.6 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	,
time? Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate8	6
Table 3.7 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	J
time? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants8	۵
Table 4.1 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it?8	/
Table 4.2 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Type of college8	
Table 4.3 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Full Tuition Price8	
Table 4.4 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
Institution8	8
Table 4.5 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Carnegie Class8	8
Table 4.6 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate8	
Table 4.7 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants8	
Table 5.1 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget?9	

Γable 5.2 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by
Гуре of college90
Γable 5.3 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by
Full Tuition Price
Fable 5.4 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution
Fable 5.5 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by
Carnegie Class92
Γable 5.6 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate92
Γable 5.7 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants93
Chapter 3. Retention Programs for Academically Underprepared Students94
Table 6 How effective have the following practices been at retaining students who need
additional academic support to the second year?94
Table 6.1.1 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year94 Table 6.1.2 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Type of college
94
Table 6.1.3 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition
Price94
Table 6.1.4 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution95
Table 6.1.5 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class
Table 6.1.6 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate99
Table 6.1.7 Effectiveness of Pre-college online math instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Percentage of
Students who Receive Pell Grants96
Table 6.2.1 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year90
Table 6.2.2 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Type of college
Table 6.2.2 Effectiveness of Dre college online writing instruction in retaining students
Table 6.2.3 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition
Price97
Table 6.2.4 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students
who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution 9'

Table 6.2.5 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class
Table 6.2.6 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate98
Table 6.2.7 Effectiveness of Pre-college online writing instruction in retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 6.3.1 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year98
Table 6.3.2 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Type of college98
Table 6.3.3 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full
Tuition Price
Table 6.3.4 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution99
Table 6.3.5 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by
Carnegie Class
Table 6.3.6 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for math in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants100
Table 6.4.1 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year100
Table 6.4.2 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Type
of college
Table 6.4.3 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full
Tuition Price101
Table 6.4.4 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Full
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution101
Table 6.4.5 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by
Carnegie Class
Table 6.4.6 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining
students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate102

	Table 6.4.7 Effectiveness of Pre-college summer instruction for writing in retaining	
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by	
	Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	102
	Table 6.5.1 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	
	students who need additional academic support to the second year	102
	Table 6.5.2 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Typ	pe
	of college	
	Table 6.5.3 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Ful	ll
	Tuition Price	
	Table 6.5.4 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by Ful	11
	Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	
	Table 6.5.5 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	100
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by	
	Carnegie Class	103
	Table 6.5.6 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	.100
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by	
	Student Acceptance Rate	104
	Table 6.5.7 Effectiveness of Intensive tutoring during the academic year in retaining	.101
	students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken out by	
	Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	104
	Table 6.6.1 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	101
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year	104
	Table 6.6.2 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	.101
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	out
	by Type of college	
	Table 6.6.3 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	101
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	out
	by Full Tuition Price	
	Table 6.6.4 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	.105
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	out
	by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.103
	Table 6.6.5 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	out
	by Carnegie Class	
		.103
	Table 6.6.6 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	out
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	
	by Student Acceptance Rate	.106
	Table 6.6.7 Effectiveness of Other programs for students in need of remediation in	
	retaining students who need additional academic support to the second year Broken	
m.	by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	
	able 7.1 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of sta	
T1	me?	107

Table 7.2 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Type of college1	07
Table 7.3 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	?
time? Broken out by Full Tuition Price10	
Table 7.4 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
Institution1	08
Table 7.5 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Carnegie Class1	
Table 7.6 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Student acceptance rate10	
Table 7.7 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff	
time? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	
Table 8.1 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it?1	
Table 8.2 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Type of college	
Table 8.3 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE position:	
devoted to it? Broken out by Full Tuition Price	
Table 8.4 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
Institution	
Table 8.5 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Carnegie Class	
Table 8.6 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE position:	
devoted to it? Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	
Table 8.7 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions	
devoted to it? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	
Table 9.1 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget?	
Table 9.2 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out by	
Type of college	
Table 9.3 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out b	
Full Tuition Price	-
Table 9.4 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out b	
Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	
Table 9.5 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out b	
Carnegie Class1	-
Table 9.6 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out b	
Student acceptance rate	-
Table 9.7 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out b	
	-
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	
Table 11 How effective have the following practices been at retaining students with limited	
financial resources to the second year?1	
Table 11.1.1 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited	τO
, and the second	18

Table 11.1.2 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Type of college118
Table 11.1.3 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price118
Table 11.1.4 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for
all Programs within the Institution119
Table 11.1.5 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class119
Table 11.1.6 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate
Table 11.1.7 Effectiveness of Enhanced work study in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive
Pell Grants
Table 11.2.1 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year120
Table 11.2.2 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Type of college
Table 11.2.3 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price121
·
Table 11.2.4 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
Programs within the Institution
Table 11.2.5 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class
Table 11.2.6 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate
Table 11.2.7 Effectiveness of Mentoring in retaining students with limited financial
resources to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell
Grants
Table 11.3.1 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year122
Table 11.3.2 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Type of college122
Table 11.3.3 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price123
Table 11.3.4 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
Programs within the Institution123
Table 11.3.5 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class123
Table 11.3.6 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate123
Table 11.3.7 Effectiveness of Loan forgiveness in retaining students with limited financia
resources to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell
Crants 12/

Table 11.4.1 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year124
Table 11.4.2 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Type of college124
Table 11.4.3 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price124
Table 11.4.4 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution125
Table 11.4.5 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class125
Table 11.4.6 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate125
Table 11.4.7 Effectiveness of Grants in retaining students with limited financial resources
to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants126
Table 11.5.1 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year126
Table 11.5.2 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Type of college126
Table 11.5.3 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price126
Table 11.5.4 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution127
Table 11.5.5 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class127
Table 11.5.6 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate
127
Table 11.5.7 Effectiveness of Renegotiating loan provisions in retaining students with
limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who
Receive Pell Grants128
Table 11.6.1 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year128
Table 11.6.2 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Type of college128
Table 11.6.3 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Tuition Price129
Table 11.6.4 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for
all Programs within the Institution129
Table 11.6.5 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Carnegie Class130
Table 11.6.6 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited
financial resources to the second year Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate130

Table 11.6.7 Effectiveness of Financial literacy training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second year Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 11.7.1 Effectiveness of Other programs for students with limited financial resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second
year (Please specify below)131 Table 11.7.2 Effectiveness of Other programs for students with limited financial resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second
year (Please specify below) Broken out by Type of college131
Table 11.7.3 Effectiveness of Other programs for students with limited financial resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second
year (Please specify below) Broken out by Full Tuition Price131 Table 11.7.4 Effectiveness of Other programs for students with limited financial
resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second year (Please specify below) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
Programs within the Institution
resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second
year (Please specify below) Broken out by Carnegie Class
resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second year (Please specify below) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate132
Table 11.7.7 Effectiveness of Other programs for students with limited financial resources training in retaining students with limited financial resources to the second
year (Please specify below) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell
Grants133 Table 12.1 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
ime? (students with limited financial resources)134 Fable 12.2 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
ime? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Type of college134
Table 12.3 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff time? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Tuition Price135
Table 12.4 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff ime? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution135 Table 12.5 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
ime? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Carnegie Class136
ime? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Student acceptance rate
ime? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants137
Table 13.1 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions levoted to it? (students with limited financial resources)137

Table 13.2 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Type of colleg Table 13.3 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Tuition P	ge 137 itions rice
Table 13.4 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos	itions
devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Time	
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	
Table 13.5 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos	itions
devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Carnegie Class	s .139
Table 13.6 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos	
devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Student accep	
rate	
Table 13.7 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE pos	
devoted to it? (students with limited financial resources) Broken out by Percentage of	
Students who Receive Pell Grants	
Table 14.1 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources)	
Table 14.2 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources) Broken out by Type of college	
Table 14.3 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Tuition Price	
Table 14.4 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for a	
Programs within the Institution	
Table 14.5 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources) Broken out by Carnegie Class	
Table 14.6 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources) Broken out by Student acceptance rate	
Table 14.7 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? (studen	
with limited financial resources) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive P	
Grants	143
Chapter 5. Retention Programs for Veteran Students	144
Table 15 How effective have the following practices been at retaining students who ne	ed
additional academic support to the second year?	144
Table 15.1.1 Counseling for Veteran students	
Table 15.1.2 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Type of college	
Table 15.1.3 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Full Tuition Price	144
Table 15.1.4 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	
Table 15.1.5 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Carnegie Class	
Table 15.1.6 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Student acceptance rate	
Table 15.1.7 Counseling for Veteran students Broken out by Percentage of Students	
Receive Pell GrantsTable 15.2.1.A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students	
Table 15.7.1 A Velecan's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran Stildents	14h

Table 15.2.2 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Type of college146
Table 15.2.3 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Full Tuition Price146
Table 15.2.4 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution147
Table 15.2.5 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Carnegie Class147
Table 15.2.6 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Student acceptance rate147
Table 15.2.7 A Veteran's outreach or similar office devoted to Veteran students Broken
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants148
Table 15.3.1 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit148
Table 15.3.2 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Type of college148
Table 15.3.3 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Full Tuition Price148
Table 15.3.4 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution149
Table 15.3.5 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Carnegie Class
Table 15.3.6 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Student acceptance rate
Table 15.3.7 Academic advising to assist Veteran students with transfer of credit Broken
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 15.4.1 Programs to award college credit for military experience
Table 15.4.2 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by
Type of college
Table 15.4.3 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 15.4.4 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution151
Table 15.4.5 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by
Carnegie Class151
Table 15.4.6 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by
Student acceptance rate151
Table 15.4.7 Programs to award college credit for military experience Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants152
Table 15.5.1 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below)152
Table 15.5.2 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Type of college152
Table 15.5.3 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Full Tuition Price
Table 15.5.4 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution

Table 15.5.5 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Carnegie Class153
Table 15.5.6 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Student acceptance rate153
Table 15.5.7 Other programs for Veteran students (Please specify below) Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants153
Table 16.1 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time?
Table 16.2 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Type of college154
Table 16.3 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Full Tuition Price155
Table 16.4 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the
Institution155
Table 16.5 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Carnegie Class156
Table 16.6 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Student acceptance rate156
Table 16.7 Which of the following programs currently receives the greatest amount of staff
time? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants157
Table 17.1 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it?157
Table 17.2 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it? Broken out by Type of college158
Table 17.3 Which of the following programs currently have the most budgeted FTE
positions devoted to it? Broken out by Full Tuition Price158
Table 17.4 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it? Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the
Institution
Table 17.5 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it? Broken out by Carnegie Class159
Table 17.6 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it? Broken out by Student acceptance rate160
Table 17.7 Which of the following programs currently has the most budgeted FTE positions
devoted to it? Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants160
Table 18.1 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget?161
Table 18.2 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
by Type of college161
Table 18.3 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
by Full Tuition Price162
Table 18.4 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution162
Table 18.5 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
hy Carnegie Class

Table 18.6 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
by Student acceptance rate163
Table 18.7 Which of the following programs currently has the largest budget? Broken out
by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants164
Chapter 6. Funding for Retention Programs165
Table 19.1 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded?165
Table 19.2 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by Type of
college165
Table 19.3 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by Full
Tuition Price165
Table 19.4 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution166
Table 19.5 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by Carnegie
Class166
Table 19.6 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate166
Table 19.7 Are accounting practices at your institution accurate enough that you can
determine how retention programs at your university are funded? Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants167
Table 20 How much of the funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
comes from the following sources?168
Table 20.1.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds168
Table 20.1.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Type of college168
Table 20.1.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Tuition Price168
Table 20.1.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
Programs within the Institution169
Table 20.1.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Carnegie Class169
Table 20.1.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate169
Table 20.1.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell
Grants
Table 20.2.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) .170

Table 20.2.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Type of college170
Table 20.2.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 20.2.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution
Table 20.2.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Carnegie Class171
Table 20.2.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate
Table 20.2.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)
Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants171
Table 20.3.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.).172
Table 20.3.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Type of college172
Table 20.3.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Full Tuition Price172
Table 20.3.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution
172
Table 20.3.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Carnegie Class173
Table 20.3.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate173
Table 20.3.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)
Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants173
Table 20.4.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Donations from private individuals173
Table 20.4.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Type of college174
Table 20.4.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students
Table 70.4.3 Amoling of flinding for refention programs aimed at immigrant stildents

	Table 20.4.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollme	ent
	for all Programs within the Institution	174
	Table 20.4.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Carnegie Class	175
	Table 20.4.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	175
	Table 20.4.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Percentage of Students who	
	Receive Pell Grants	175
	Table 20.5.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs	175
	Table 20.5.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Type of college	176
	Table 20.5.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Full Tuition Price	176
	Table 20.5.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment fo	or
	all Programs within the Institution	176
	Table 20.5.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Carnegie Class	177
	Table 20.5.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	177
	Table 20.5.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Student scholarship programs Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive	
	Pell Grants	177
	Table 20.6.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below)	178
	Table 20.6.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Type of college	178
	Table 20.6.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Tuition Price	178
	Table 20.6.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
	Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	178
	Table 20.6.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Carnegie Class	179
	Table 20.6.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	179
	Table 20.6.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at immigrant students	
	from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Percentage of Students who	
	Receive Pell Grants	179
	able 21 How much of the funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
uı	nderprepared students comes from the following sources?	180
	Table 21.1.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
	underprepared students from Internally hudgeted funds	180

Table 21.1.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Type of college
180
Table 21.1.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Tuition Price
180
Table 21.1.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution181
Table 21.1.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Carnegie Class181
Table 21.1.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate181
Table 21.1.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Percentage of
Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 21.2.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.)182
Table 21.2.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.) Broken out by Type of college
Table 21.2.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.) Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 21.2.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution
Table 21.2.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.) Broken out by Carnegie Class183
Table 21.2.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate184
Table 21.2.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF,
state programs, etc.) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants184
Table 21.3.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,
Gates Foundation, etc.)184
Table 21.3.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,
Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Type of college185

Table 21.3.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,	
Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Full Tuition Price1	
Γable 21.3.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,	
Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Program	ıs
vithin the Institution1	
Γable 21.3.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Carnegie Class1	
Γable 21.3.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	.00
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,	
Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	
	.00
Table 21.3.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina,	
Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	0.0
Table 21.4.1 Amount of funding for retention programs simple at and on itselfunding	.00
Γable 21.4.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	07
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals	.87
Table 21.4.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	- C
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Type	
college1	.87
Table 21.4.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full	0.
Tuition Price1	.87
Γable 21.4.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full	
Γime Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution1	.88
Γable 21.4.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by	
Carnegie Class1	.88
Γable 21.4.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Stude	
Acceptance Rate1	.88
Γable 21.4.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
anderprepared students from Donations from private individuals Broken out by	
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants1	.89
Γable 21.5.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
ınderprepared students from Scholarship programs1	.89
Γable 21.5.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Type of college 1	.89
Γable 21.5.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Tuition Price 1	90
Table 21.5.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Time Equivale	nt
	90

Table 21.5.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Carnegie Class19	€0
Table 21.5.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Student Acceptance	ì
Rate	
Table 21.5.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	, 1
underprepared students from Scholarship programs Broken out by Percentage of	1
Students who Receive Pell Grants	11
Table 21.6.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	~ 4
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below)19	<i>)</i> 1
Table 21.6.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Type	
of college19	92
Table 21.6.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full	
Tuition Price19	€
Table 21.6.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full	
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution19	92
Table 21.6.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by	
Carnegie Class19	93
Table 21.6.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by	
Student Acceptance Rate	33
Table 21.6.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at academically	,,
underprepared students from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by	
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	32
Table 22 How much of the funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources comes from the following sources?	14
Table 22.1.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	٠,
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds	14
Table 22.1.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Type of college19) 4
Table 22.1.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Tuition Price19) 4
Table 22.1.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution19	€
Table 22.1.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Carnegie Class19) 5
Table 22.1.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited	
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Student Acceptance	
Rate)5

Table 22.1.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Percentage of Students
who Receive Pell Grants196
Table 22.2.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.)
Table 22.2.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Type of college196
Table 22.2.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Full Tuition Price197
Table 22.2.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within
the Institution
Table 22.2.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Carnegie Class197
Table 22.2.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate198
Table 22.2.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state
programs, etc.) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants198
Table 22.3.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.)198
Table 22.3.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Type of college199
Table 22.3.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Full Tuition Price199
Table 22.3.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within
the Institution199
Table 22.3.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Carnegie Class200
Table 22.3.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate

Table 22.3.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates
Foundation, etc.) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants200
Table 22.4.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals201
Table 22.4.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Type of
college201
Table 22.4.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full Tuition
Price
Table 22.4.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution201
Table 22.4.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Carnegie
Class202
Table 22.4.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate202
Table 22.4.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Donations from private individuals Broken out by Percentage of
Students who Receive Pell Grants202
Table 22.5.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs202
Table 22.5.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Type of college203
Table 22.5.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Tuition Price203
Table 22.5.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution203
Table 22.5.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Carnegie Class203
Table 22.5.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate
204
Table 22.5.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Scholarship programs Broken out by Percentage of Students
who Receive Pell Grants204
Table 22.6.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Other sources (please specify below)204
Table 22.6.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Type of
college 204

	Table 22.6.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
	financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Tuition
	Price
	Table 22.6.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
	financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Time
	Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution205
	Table 22.6.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
	financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Carnegie
	Class
	Table 22.6.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
	financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Student
	Acceptance Rate205
	Table 22.6.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at students with limited
	financial resources from Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Percentage
	of Students who Receive Pell Grants206
Т	able 23 How much of the funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students
	omes from the following sources?206
	Table 23.1.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds206
	Table 23.1.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Type of college206
	Table 23.1.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Tuition Price207
	Table 23.1.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all
	Programs within the Institution207
	Table 23.1.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Carnegie Class207
	Table 23.1.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate208
	Table 23.1.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	Internally budgeted funds Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
	208
	Table 23.2.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.)208
	Table 23.2.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
	out by Type of college209
	Table 23.2.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
	out by Full Tuition Price
	Table 23.2.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
	External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
	out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution209

Table 23.2.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
out by Carnegie Class210
Table 23.2.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
out by Student Acceptance Rate210
Table 23.2.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from government sources (i.e. FIPSE, NSF, state programs, etc.) Broken
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants210
Table 23.3.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.)211
Table 23.3.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Type of college211
Table 23.3.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Full Tuition Price211
Table 23.3.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution212
Table 23.3.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Carnegie Class212
Table 23.3.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Student Acceptance Rate212
Table 23.3.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
External grants from private organizations (i.e. Lumina, Gates Foundation, etc.) Broken
out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants213
Table 23.4.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals213
Table 23.4.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Type of college213
Table 23.4.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full Tuition Price213
Table 23.4.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for
all Programs within the Institution214
Table 23.4.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Carnegie Class214
Table 23.4.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate214
Table 23.4.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Donations from private individuals Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive
Pell Grants 214

Table 23.5.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs215
Table 23.5.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Type of college215
Table 23.5.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Tuition Price215
Table 23.5.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution215
Table 23.5.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Carnegie Class216
Table 23.5.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate216
Table 23.5.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Scholarship programs Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants 216
Table 23.6.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources216
Table 23.6.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Type of
college217
Table 23.6.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Full
Tuition Price217
Table 23.6.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution217
Table 23.6.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Carnegie
Class218
Table 23.6.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Student
Acceptance Rate218
Table 23.6.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Funds from the GI Bill or other Department of Defense sources Broken out by Percentage
of Students who Receive Pell Grants218
Table 23.7.1 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Other sources (please specify below)218
Table 23.7.2 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Type of college219
Table 23.7.3 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Tuition Price219
Table 23.7.4 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for
all Programs within the Institution219
Table 23.7.5 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from
Other sources (please specify below) Broken out by Carnegie Class 219

Tal	le 23.7.6 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students fron	1
Oth	er sources (please specify below) Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate2	20
Tal	ole 23.7.7 Amount of funding for retention programs aimed at Veteran students from	1
Oth	er sources (please specify below) Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receiv	<i>r</i> e
Pel	Grants2	20
Chapt	er 7. Assessment of Retention Programs2	21
Table	24 Which of the following have you done to assess the effectiveness of your retention	on
	ams?2	
Tal	le 24.1.1 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program2	21
Tal	le 24.1.2 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program2	21
Bro	ken out by Type of college2	21
Tal	le 24.1.3 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Tuition Price2	21
	ole 24.1.4 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for	all
		22
Tal	ole 24.1.5 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Carnegie Class2	22
Tal	le 24.1.6 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate2	22
	le 24.1.7 Tracked year-to-year persistence of all at risk students to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive	
Pel	Grants2	23
Tal	le 24.2.1 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program2	23
Tal	le 24.2.2 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Type of college2	23
Tal	le 24.2.3 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Tuition Price2	23
Tal	le 24.2.4 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for	all
Pro	grams within the Institution2	24
Tal	le 24.2.5 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Carnegie Class2	24
Tal	le 24.2.6 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate2	24
Tal	le 24.2.7 Tracked year-to-year persistence of specific sub-populations to assess the	
effe	ctiveness of retention program Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive	
Pel	Grants2	25
Tal	ole 24.3.1 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific	
	ention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program2	25
Tal	ole 24.3.2 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific	
	ention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Typ	Эe
		25

Table 24.3.3 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific
retention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Tuition Price225
Table 24.3.4 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific
retention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution226
Table 24.3.5 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific
retention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Carnegie Class226
Table 24.3.6 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific
retention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate226
Table 24.3.7 Tracked year-to-year persistence of students who participated in specific
retention programs to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants227
Table 24.4.1 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program227
Table 24.4.2 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Type of college227
Table 24.4.3 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Tuition Price 228
Table 24.4.4 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution
Table 24.4.5 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Carnegie Class
Table 24.4.6 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate
Table 24.4.7 Conducted focus groups, interviews, or other qualitative assessment with
program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants229
Table 24.5.1 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program229
Table 24.5.2 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Type of college229
Table 24.5.3 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Full Tuition Price230
Table 24.5.4 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the
Institution
Table 24.5.5 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Carnegie Class230

Table 24.5.6 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate231
Table 24.5.7 Surveyed program participants to assess the effectiveness of retention
program Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants231
Table 24.6.1 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program231
Table 24.6.2 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Type
of college231
Table 24.6.3 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Tuition Price
Table 24.6.4 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full
Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution232
Table 24.6.5 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Carnegie Class
Table 24.6.6 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Student Acceptance Rate233
Table 24.6.7 Comprehensive program review for specific programs geared toward
increasing retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants233
Table 24.7.1 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student
retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program233
Table 24.7.2 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student
retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Type of college
234
Table 24.7.3 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student
retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Tuition
Price
Table 24.7.4 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student
retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Time
Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution234
Table 24.7.5 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student
retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Carnegie Class
235
Table 24.7.6 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works
Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student

	retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	225
	Table 24.7.7 Conducted impact studies, such as those endorsed by the What Works Clearinghouse, to establish a causal link between specific programs and student retention to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Percentage Students who Receive Pell Grants	of
	Table 24.8.1 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program	
	Table 24.8.2 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Type of college	
	Table 24.8.3 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Full Tuition Price	
	Table 24.8.4 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program	237
	Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	n
	Table 24.8.5 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program Broken out by Carnegie Class	
	Table 24.8.6 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program	207
	Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	238
	Table 24.8.7 Another assessment to assess the effectiveness of retention program	
	Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	238
Cl	hapter 8. Looking Back and Looking Ahead	239
	able25 Since the Fall of 2011, how have the following resource allocations for retentic	
pı	rograms focused on immigrant students changed?	239
	Table 25.1.1 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	
	immigrant students	239
	Table 25.1.2 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	
	immigrant students Broken out by Type of college	239
	Table 25.1.3 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	000
	immigrant students Broken out by Full Tuition Price	239
	Table 25.1.4 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	
	immigrant students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs	
	within the Institution	240
	Table 25.1.5 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	240
	immigrant students Broken out by Carnegie Class	240
	Table 25.1.6 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	240
	Table 25.1.7 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	240
	immigrant students Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	2/1
	Table 25.2.1 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	441
	students	241
	Table 25.2.2 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	4 7 1
	students Broken out by Type of college	241
	Table 25.2.3 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	4 11
	students Broken out by Full Tuition Price	241
	State I to District Out by I am I altitud I I lice minimum min	- - F - L

	Table 25.2.4 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
	Institution	242
	Table 25.2.5 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Carnegie Class	242
	Table 25.2.6 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	242
	Table 25.2.7 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	243
	Table 25.3.1 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	·
	students	243
	Table 25.3.2 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Type of college	243
	Table 25.3.3 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Full Tuition Price	243
	Table 25.3.4 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the	
	Institution	
	Table 25.3.5 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Carnegie Class	244
	Table 25.3.6 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	244
	Table 25.3.7 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on immigrant	
	students Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants	245
	Table 25.4.1 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students	245
	Table 25.4.2 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Type of college	245
	Table 25.4.3 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Full Tuition Price	245
	Table 25.4.4 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
	Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution	246
	Table 25.4.5 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Carnegie Class	246
	Table 25.4.6 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	246
	Table 25.4.7 Change in External funding (from all sources) funding for retention	
	programs focused on immigrant students Broken out by Percentage of Students who	
	Receive Pell Grants	247
T	able 26 Since the Fall of 2011, how have the following resource allocations for retention	n
p	rograms focused on academically underprepared students changed?	247
	Table 26.1.1 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	
	academically underprepared students	247
	Table 26.1.2 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on	
		247

Table 26.1.3 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on
academically underprepared students Broken out by Full Tuition Price248
Table 26.1.4 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on
academically underprepared students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment
for all Programs within the Institution248
Table 26.1.5 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on
academically underprepared students Broken out by Carnegie Class248
Table 26.1.6 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on
academically underprepared students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate249
Table 26.1.7 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on
academically underprepared students Broken out by Percentage of Students who
Receive Pell Grants249
Table 26.2.1 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students
Table 26.2.2 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Type of college
Table 26.2.3 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 26.2.4 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution250
Table 26.2.5 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Carnegie Class250
Table 26.2.6 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate251
Table 26.2.7 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
251
Table 26.3.1 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students251
Table 26.3.2 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Type of college251
Table 26.3.3 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Full Tuition Price
Table 26.3.4 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs
within the Institution252
Table 26.3.5 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Carnegie Class252
Table 26.3.6 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate253
Table 26.3.7 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on academically
underprepared students Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants
Table 26.4.1 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on academically underprepared students253

	Table 26.4.2 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Type of college253
	Table 26.4.3 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Full Tuition Price254
	Table 26.4.4 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
	Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution
	Table 26.4.5 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Carnegie Class254
	Table 26.4.6 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Student Acceptance
	Rate255
	Table 26.4.7 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
	focused on academically underprepared students Broken out by Percentage of Students
_	who Receive Pell Grants
	able 27 Since the Fall of 2011, how have the following resource allocations for retention
_p	rograms focused on students with limited financial resources changed?255
	Table 27.1.1 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011255
	Table 27.1.2 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Type of college $\dots 256$
	Table 27.1.3 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Full Tuition Price 256
	Table 27.1.4 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
	Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution256
	Table 27.1.5 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Carnegie Class257
	Table 27.1.6 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Student Acceptance
	Rate257
	Table 27.1.7 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on students
	with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Percentage of
	Students who Receive Pell Grants
	Table 27.2.1 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with
	limited financial resources since the fall of 2011258
	Table 27.2.2 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with
	limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by Type of college258
	Table 27.2.3 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with
	limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Tuition Price258
	Table 27.2.4 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with
	limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution258
	Table 27.2.5 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with
	limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Carnegie Class259

Table 27.2.6 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	
25	59
Table 27.2.7 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on students with	
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students	
who Receive Pell Grants25	
Table 27.3.1 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit limited financial resources since the fall of 201126	
Table 27.3.2 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	h
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Type of college26	50
Table 27.3.3 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	h
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Tuition Price26	60
Table 27.3.4 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	h
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Time Equivalent	
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution26	50
Table 27.3.5 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	h
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Carnegie Class26	51
Table 27.3.6 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	
Table 27.3.7 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on students wit	
limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students	,11
who Receive Pell Grants26	51
Table 27.4.1 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	, 1
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 201126	52
Table 27.4.2 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	_
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by	V
Type of college26	
Table 27.4.3 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by	7
Full Tuition Price	
Table 27.4.4 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by	У
Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution26	-
Table 27.4.5 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by	7
Carnegie Class26	53
Table 27.4.6 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011Broken out by	7
Student Acceptance Rate26	63
Table 27.4.7 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs	
focused on students with limited financial resources since the fall of 2011 Broken out by	
Percentage of Students who Receive Pell Grants26	63
Table 28 Since the Fall of 2011, how have the following resource allocations for retention	
programs focused on Veteran students changed?26	54

Table 28.1.1 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	an
students since the fall of 2011	.264
Table 28.1.2 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	an
students since the fall of 2011Broken out by Type of college	.264
Table 28.1.3 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	
students since the fall of 2011Broken out by Full Tuition Price	
Table 28.1.4 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	
students since the fall of 2011Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all	
Programs within the Institution	264
Table 28.1.5 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	
students since the fall of 2011Broken out by Carnegie Class	
Table 28.1.6 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	
students since the fall of 2011Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	
Table 28.1.7 Change in Amount of staff time for retention programs focused on Vetera	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pel	
Grants	
Table 28.2.1 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011	.265
Table 28.2.2 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
	.266
Table 28.2.3 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Tuition Price	266
Table 28.2.4 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all	
Programs within the Institution	266
Table 28.2.5 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Carnegie Class	.266
Table 28.2.6 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Student Acceptance Rate	.267
Table 28.2.7 Change in FTE positions for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pel	l
Grants	
Table 28.3.1 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011	.267
Table 28.3.2 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Type of college	.267
Table 28.3.3 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Tuition Price	267
Table 28.3.4 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Time Equivalent Enrollment for all	
	268
Table 28.3.5 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Carnegie Class	.268
Table 28.3.6 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran	
	268

Table 28.3.7 Change in Internal funding for retention programs focused on Veteran
students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students who Receive Pell
Grants
Table 28.4.1 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011269
Table 28.4.2 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Type of college
Table 28.4.3 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Tuition Price 269
Table 28.4.4 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Full Time Equivalent
Enrollment for all Programs within the Institution270
Table 28.4.5 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Carnegie Class270
Table 28.4.6 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Student Acceptance
Rate270
Table 28.4.7 Change in External funding (from all sources) for retention programs
focused on Veteran students since the fall of 2011 Broken out by Percentage of Students
who Receive Pell Grants271
Since Fall 2011, in what ways have your retention programs changed (new programs
added, programs requiring additional resources, ineffective programs closed, shifts in
focus, etc.)?271
In Fall 2014, how do you expect your retention programs to change (new programs added,
programs requiring additional resources, ineffective programs closed, shifts in focus, etc.)?
Specifically, what is the most effective way for institutions to retain immigrant students?
272
Specifically, what is the most effective way for institutions to retain students who require
remediation in math?273
Specifically, what is the most effective way for institutions to retain students who are not
prepared for college-level writing?274
Specifically, what is the most effective way for institutions to retain students with limited
financial resources?275
Specifically, what is the most effective way for institutions to retain Veteran students? 276

LIST OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Antioch University Seattle California State University, Northridge Central Carolina Community College College of Central Florida Concordia University, St. Paul Dominican University of California **Duquesne University** Eastern Wyoming College Fresno City College Gogebic Community College Lindsey Wilson College Little Priest Tribal College Luzerne County Community College Marquette University Martin College Mercer County Community College Miles College Oakland City University Seattle University Southeastern Community College Southern West Virginia Community and Technical College Stark State College University of Pittsburgh at Bradford University of St. Francis